Date last modification documentation sheet: 17-04-2012

Compared to previous version documentation sheet (30-01-2012) the following issues were adapted: - New section on relevant policy areas added to the documentation sheet

Compared to the previous version documentation sheet (12-12-2011) the following issues were adapted:

- Data availability updated
- Remarks; explanation principal diagnosis clarified
- References: link to Health at a Glance report updated (2011 version)

Compared to the previous version documentation sheet (21-02-2011) the following issues were adapted:

- Name of indicator adapted: ischemic stroke instead of stroke
- Definition mentions the fact that this indicator is an OECD indicator and the OECD definition is added.
- Remarks about principal diagnosis and age-standardization are added.
- Primary diagnosis is replaced by principal diagnosis.

Compared to previous version documentation sheet (16-08-2010) the following issues were adapted: - Major correction; ICD9 codes for AMI in calculation $410-414 \rightarrow 410$

ECHIM	D) Health interventions: health services
Indicator	
name	79. 30-day in-hospital case-fatality of AMI and ischemic stroke
Relevant	- Health inequalities (including accessibility of care)
policy areas	- Health system performance, quality of care, efficiency of care, patient safety
Definition	OECD indicator: admission-based AMI and ischemic stroke 30 day in-hospital (same
	hospital) mortality rate. This indicator is defined as the age-sex standardised percentage of
	with principal diagnosis of: a) acute myocardial infarction (AMI) or b) ischemic stroke.
Calculation	Numerator: the number of deaths in the same hospital that occurred within 30 days of hospital
	admission with a principal diagnosis of AMI / ischemic stroke in a specified year. Denominator: the number of patients admitted to a hospital with a principal diagnosis of AMI
	/ ischemic stroke in a specified year, including same day admissions. AMI diagnostic codes:
	ICD-10: I21, I22; ICD-9: 410. Ischemic stroke diagnostic codes: ICD-10: I63-I64; ICD-9:
	433, 434, 436. The indicator is age-sex standardised according to 2005 OECD population
	(45+). Therefore 5-year age specific numerators and denominators are needed, separate for
	men and women: 45-49, 50-54,, 85+.
Relevant	- Calendar year
dimensions	- Country
ana subgroups	- Sex
Preferred	Preferred data type:
data type and	- National hospital discharge records and hospital registers.
uuu source	Preferred data source:
	- OECD Health Care Quality Indicators Data.
Data	The 2011 Health at a Glance report (see references) presents 2009 data for 19 European
availability	countries (Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Iceland, Ireland,
	Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain,
	Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom. N.B.: for Portugal and Switserland data from 2008 are
	for both case fatality among patients with AMI and ischemic stroke are available for 2000
	2005 and 2009 (or nearest year) for 11 European countries.
Data	Biannually.
periodicity	
Rationale	AMI and ischemic stroke are important causes of death in European countries. Also the
	burden of disease and health care costs are considerable. Adequate and timely treatment can

	improve survival. This indicator measures the quality of the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic cardiovascular diseases in hospitals.
Remarks	- This indicator is measured within the framework of the OECD Health Care Quality
	Indicators project.
	- OECD also collects data on case-fatality of hemorrhagic stroke. ECHIM chooses to focus on
	ischemic stroke for the following reasons: ischemic stroke represents 85% of all strokes, and
	moreover there is ample evidence that there is a relationship between quality of care and more lity due to ischemic stroke. For hemorehagic stroke this relationship is less obvious
	though there seems to be a correlation between case-fatality rates for ischemic and
	hemorrhagic stroke; that is, countries that achieve better survival for one type of stroke tend to
	also do well for the other type. Given the initial steps of care for stroke patients are similar this
	suggests that systems-based factors play a role in explaining the differences across by
	countries.
	- The principal diagnosis refers to the diagnosis that is finally established as (1) responsible
	for causing the hospitalisation or (2) the main reason for the hospital stay. Countries are given the opportunity to choose the data source which is most readily evolved in their context
	(admission or discharge databases)
	- OECD remarks that for comparability reasons, until the majority of countries is able to
	calculate the true 30-day case-fatality rate (so including both in-hospital deaths and death
	occurring outside of the hospital), 30-day in-hospital mortality is reported for all countries.
	- Ideally, rates would be based on individual patients. However, not all countries have the
	ability to track patients in and out of hospital, across hospitals or even within the same
	hospital because they do not currently use a unique patient identifier. Therefore, this indicator
	Now it is possible that patients are counted more than once (in case the patient is transferred to
	another hospital or the patient has several admissions for the same diagnosis within 30 days
	after the first admission).
	- This indicator is based on hospital discharge data only. Consequently, death that takes place
	before the patient reaches the hospital or on arrival in the hospital is not included in the
	indicator. Therefore, early recognition by patients themselves or by-standers, emergency
	example, rigorous treatment of patients by the emergency services leads to more patients
	reach the hospital alive but part of them can ultimately not be stabilized and die within hours
	of admission.
	- If the case-fatality rates are age-standardised to the general 2005 OECD population, one gets
	total rates that are much lower than the crude rates, because the age distribution of the general
	population is very different from that of the diseased population. Using a standard population
	with a distribution approaching the diseased population leads to standardised rates that are
	closer to the crude rates. Within the OECD HCQI project truncation is used, at which only age categories of 45 years or older are included in the calculation of the standardized rates. This
	has a comparable effect as when using a diseased population as standard.
References	- OECD Health Care Quality Indicators project: http://www.oecd.org/health/hcgi
	- "Health Care Quality Indicators Project - Initial Indicators Report" (OECD Health Working
	Papers (no. 22/2006): <u>http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/1/34/36262514.pdf</u>
	- Health at a Glance reports, including link to the data for the 2011 report (Excel sheets):
	<u>http://www.oecdilibrary.org/oecd/content/serial/19991312</u> The methodology applied to calculate the direct ago and/or say standardised rates and
	confidence intervals for the set of OECD HCOI indicators was derived from the "Statistical
	Notes No. 6: Direct Standardization (Age-Adjusted Death Rates) March 1995" from the
	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics. For further
	information refer to <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/statnt/statnt06rv.pdf</u> .
	- For countries participating in ECHI that are not a member of OECD, a data collection sheet
	in which the age-standardization calculation is incorporated is published at
Work to do	- Monitor developments OECD Health Care Quality Indicators: consider adapting indicator
	definition once adequate data for measuring in and out of hospital case fatality are available
	and/or once adequate data for measuring patient-based rather than admission-based indicators
	are available.